

REMARKS

Amendments

Claims 1-8 and 10-12 are amended to use language in accordance with conventional US practice. Claim 9 is cancelled. Claim 11 is also amended to be a method claim, rather than a use claim. See, e.g., page 1, lines 9-11. Furthermore, claim 12 is amended to recite additional structure of the display. See, e.g., page 22, lines 11-16.

New claims 13-23 are directed to further aspects of applicants' claimed invention and are supported throughout the disclosure. See, e.g., page 6, lines 20-26, page 7, lines 4-25, and page 11, lines 29-37.

Requested Article

Pursuant to the Examiner's request, enclosed is a copy of the Kirsch et al. article cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed November 23, 2005.

Claims 9 and 11


As noted above, claim 9 is cancelled and use claim 11 is converted into a method claim. Withdrawal of the objection and rejection of claims 9 and 11 is respectfully requested.

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claims 1-12 are rejected on grounds of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claims 1-16 of US 7,189,440. For purposes of obtaining an early allowance of the instant application, applicants are filing herewith a Terminal Disclaimer with respect to US 7,189,440. Submission of this Terminal Disclaimer is not to be construed as acquiescence to any ground of rejection. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of the instant application is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,



Brian P. Heaney, Reg. No. 32,542
Attorney for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1
2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400
Arlington, VA 22201
Telephone: 703-243-6333
Facsimile: 703-243-6410
Attorney Docket No.: Merck-3110

Date: August 28, 2007